PLAINTIFF ALLEGES:

Defendants.

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

28

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction is founded on the existence of a question arising under particular statutes. This action is brought pursuant to several federal statutes, including the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Title 47 U.S.C. 605, *et seq.*, and The Cable & Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, as amended, Title 47 U.S. Section 553, *et seq.*, and California B&P Section 17200, a California state statute.

Case 2:10-cv-03151-WDK -FMO Document 1 Filed 04/27/10 Page 2 of 17 Page ID #:2

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1331, which states that the District Courts shall original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties, of the United States.
- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action as a result of the Defendants' wrongful acts hereinafter complained of which violated the Plaintiff's rights as the exclusive commercial domestic distributor of the televised fight *Program* hereinafter set forth at length. The Defendants' wrongful acts consisted of the interception, reception, publication, divulgence, display, exhibition, and tortious conversion of said property of Plaintiff within the control of the Plaintiff in the State of California constituting an unfair business practice in violation of the law, including specific California state statutes, more particularly set forth below.

VENUE

4. Pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, venue is proper in the Central District of California, because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District and/or because, *inter alia*, all Defendants' reside within the State of California (28 U.S. C. § 1391 (b) and 28 U.S.C. § 84(c)(2)).

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

5. Assignment to the Western Division of the Central District of California is proper because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Los Angeles County and/or the United States District Court for the Central District of California has decided that suits of this nature, and each of them, are to be heard by the Courts in this particular Division.

27 | /// 28 | ///

THE PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff, J & J Sports Productions, Inc. is, and at all relevant times mentioned was, a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 2380 South Bascom Avenue, Suite 200, Campbell, California 95008.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that Defendant, Danny Tien Wu, is an owner, and/or operator, and/or licensee, and/or permitee, and/or person in charge, and/or an individual with dominion, control, oversight and management of the commercial establishment doing business as Captin Crab operating at 250 West Valley Blvd., Suite J, San Gabriel, California 91776.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that Defendant, Anson Yang, is an owner, and/or operator, and/or licensee, and/or permitee, and/or person in charge, and/or an individual with dominion, control, oversight and management of the commercial establishment doing business as Captin Crab operating at 250 West Valley Blvd., Suite J, San Gabriel, California 91776.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that Defendant, Carman Yang, is an owner, and/or operator, and/or licensee, and/or permitee, and/or person in charge, and/or an individual with dominion, control, oversight and management of the commercial establishment doing business as Captin Crab operating at 250 West Valley Blvd., Suite J, San Gabriel, California 91776.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that Defendant, King Crab House, is an owner, and/or operator, and/or licensee, and/or permitee, and/or person in charge, and/or an individual with dominion, control, oversight and management of the commercial establishment doing business as Captin Crab operating at 250 West Valley Blvd., Suite J, San Gabriel, California 91776.

COUNT I

(Violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605)

11. Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations

Case 2:10-cv-03151-WDK -FMO Document 1 Filed 04/27/10 Page 4 of 17 Page ID #:4

contained in paragraphs 1-10, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.

12. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., was granted the exclusive nationwide commercial distribution (closed-circuit) rights to "The Battle of East and West": Manny Pacquiao v. Ricky Hatton, IBO Light Welterweight Championship Fight Program, telecast nationwide on Saturday, May 2, 2009 (this included all under-card bouts and fight commentary encompassed in the television broadcast of the event, hereinafter referred to as the "Program").

- 13. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., entered into subsequent sublicensing agreements with various commercial entities throughout North America, including entities within the State of California, by which it granted these entities limited sublicensing rights, specifically the rights to publicly exhibit the *Program* within their respective commercial establishments in the hospitality industry (i.e., hotels, racetracks, casinos, bars, taverns, restaurants, social clubs, etc.).
- 14. As a commercial distributor and licensor of sporting events, including the *Program*, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., expended substantial monies marketing, advertising, promoting, administering, and transmitting the *Program* to its customers, the aforementioned commercial entities.
- 15. With full knowledge that the *Program* was not to be intercepted, received, published, divulged, displayed, and/or exhibited by commercial entities unauthorized to do so, each and every of the above named Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen or employees did unlawfully intercept, receive, publish, divulge, display, and/or exhibit the *Program* at the time of its transmission at their commercial establishment in San Gabriel, California located at 250 West Valley Blvd., Suite J, San Gabriel, California 91776.
- 16. Said unauthorized interception, reception, publication, exhibition, divulgence, display, and/or exhibition by each of the Defendants was done willfully and for purposes of direct and/or indirect commercial advantage and/or private financial gain.

///

///

Case 2:10-cv-03151-WDK -FMO Document 1 Filed 04/27/10 Page 5 of 17 Page ID #:5

- 17. Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, *et seq.*, prohibits the unauthorized publication or use of communications (such as the transmission of the *Program* for which Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., had the distribution rights thereto).
- 18. By reason of the aforesaid mentioned conduct, the aforementioned Defendants, and each of them, violated Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, *et seq.*
- 19. By reason of the Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, et seq., Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., has the private right of action pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605.
- 20. As the result of the aforementioned Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, and pursuant to said Section 605, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., is entitled to the following from each Defendant:
 - (a) Statutory damages for each willful violation in an amount to \$100,000.00 pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. 605(e)(3)(C)(ii), and also
 - (b) the recovery of full costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605(e)(3)(B)(iii).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

COUNT II

(Violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553)

- 21. Plaintiff's hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-20, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.
- 22. The unauthorized interceptions, reception, publication, divulgence, display, and/or exhibition of the *Program* by the above named Defendants was prohibited by Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553, *et seq.*

1 2 3

4

5

6 . 7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

22

24

23

25 26

27

Case 2:10-cv-03151-WDK -FMO Document 1 Filed 04/27/10 Page 6 of 17 Page ID #:6

23. By reason of the aforesaid mentioned conduct, the aforementioned Defendants, and each of them, violated Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553, *et seq.*

- 24. By reason of the Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553, et seq., Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., has the private right of action pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553.
- 25. As the result of the aforementioned Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., is entitled to the following from each Defendant:
 - (a) Statutory damages for each willful violation in an amount to \$50,000.00 pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. 553 (b)(2); and also
 - (b) the recovery of full costs pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553 (c)(2)(C); and also
 - (c) and in the discretion of this Honorable Court, reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553 (c)(2)(C).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

COUNT III

(Conversion)

- 26. Plaintiff's hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-26, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.
- 27. By their aforesaid acts of interception, reception, publication, divulgence, display, and/or exhibition of the *Program* at their commercial establishment at the above-captioned address, the aforementioned Defendants, and each of them, tortuously obtained possession of the *Program* and wrongfully converted same for their own use and benefit.

Case 2:10-cv-03151-WDK -FMO Document 1 Filed 04/27/10 Page 7 of 17 Page ID #:7

28. The aforesaid acts of the Defendants were willful, malicious, egregious, and intentionally designed to harm Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., by depriving Plaintiff of the commercial license fee to which Plaintiff was rightfully entitled to receive from them, and in doing so, the Defendants subjected the Plaintiff to severe economic distress and great financial loss.

29. Accordingly, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., is entitled to both compensatory, as well as punitive and exemplary damages, from aforementioned Defendants as the result of the Defendants' egregious conduct, theft, and conversion of the *Program* and deliberate injury to the Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

COUNT IV

(Violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200, et seq.)

- 30. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-29, inclusive, as set forth herein at length.
- 31. By contract, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., was granted exclusive domestic commercial exhibition closed-circuit rights to the *Program*.
- 32. Plaintiff did not authorize transmission, interception, reception, divulgence, exhibition, or display of the *Program* to the general public, persons at large, or to the commercial establishment operated by the foregoing Defendants, or any of them.
- 33. With full knowledge that the *Program* was not to be intercepted, received, published, divulged displayed, and/or exhibited by commercial locations unlicensed (and therefore unauthorized) to do so, each and every one of the above-named Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen, or employees did unlawfully intercept, received, publish, divulge, display, and/or exhibit the *Program* at the real time

Case 2:10-cv-03151-WDK -FMO Document 1 Filed 04/27/10 Page 8 of 17 Page ID #:8

transmission of the *Program's* broadcast at their respective commercial establishments, as more particularly indicated and identified above.

- 34. Plaintiff is informed and believes and alleges thereon that the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen, or employees performed the aforementioned acts knowingly, willfully and to confer a direct or indirect commercial advantage and/or pirate financial gain to the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen, or employees, to the detriment and injury of Plaintiff and its business enterprise as a commercial distributor and closed-circuit licensor of sports and entertainment television programming.
- 35. The Defendants' unauthorized interception, publication, divulgence and/or exhibition was done by the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen, or employees wantonly, recklessly, and without regard whatsoever for the intellectual property rights of the Plaintiff.
- 36. The aforementioned unlawful acts of each of the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen, or employees constituted, unlawful, untrue, fraudulent, predatory, unfair, and deceptive trade practices, and by reason of the aforementioned conduct, the Defendants, and each of them, violated California and Professions Code Section 17200, *et seq.*
- 37. As a proximate result of the aforementioned acts attributable to the Defendants, Plaintiff has been permanently deprived of the patronage of current, previous and potential customers of the sports and entertainment programming it licenses commercially to the hospitality industry, all to its severe financial injury and loss in a sum to be determined at trial.
- 38. By reason of the Defendants' violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200, et seq., Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc. is entitled to restitution for its injuries, the disgorgement and turn-over of the Defendants' ill-gotten gains, as well as injunctive and declaratory relief, from each of the aforementioned Defendants as may be made more appropriately determined at trial.

27 ///

39. Plaintiff is entitled to it's attorneys' fees from the Defendants for enforcing California Business and Professions Code Section 17200 as it meets the standards of a private attorney general as specifically and statutorily defined under California Civil Procedure Section 1021.5.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

As to the First Count:

- 1. For statutory damages in the amount of \$110,000.00 against the Defendants, and each of them, and
- 2. For reasonable attorneys' fees as mandated by statute, and
- 3. For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of process fees, investigative costs, and
- 4. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper;

As to the Second Count:

- 1. For statutory damages in the amount of \$60,000.00 against the Defendants, and each of them, and;
- 2. For reasonable attorneys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's discretion pursuant to statute, and;
- 3. For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of process fees, investigative costs, and;
- 4. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper.

///

As to the Third Count:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. For compensatory damages in an amount according to proof against the Defendants, and each of them, and;
- 2. For exemplary damages against the Defendants, and each of them, and;
- 3. For punitive damages against the Defendants, and each of them, and:
- 4. For reasonable attorneys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's discretion pursuant to statute, and;
- 5. For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of process fee, investigative costs, and;
- 6. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper.

As to the Fourth Count:

- 1. For restitution to the Plaintiff in an amount according to and from the Defendants, for their ill-gotten gains, and;
- 2. For declaratory relief, and;
- 3. For prohibitory and mandatory injunctive relief, and;
- For reasonable attorneys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's discretion pursuant to statute, and;
- 5. For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of process fees, investigative costs, and;
- 6. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated:

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS P. RILEY, P.C.

By: Thomas P. Riley, Esquire

Attorneys for Plaintiff

J & J Sports Productions, Inc.

Page 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge	William I	D. Keller and	the assigned
discovery Magistrate Judge is Fernando M. Olguin.			

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV10- 3151 WDK (FMOx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
NOTICE TO COUNSEL
A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

[X] Western Division
312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division
3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134
Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Case 2:10-cv-03151-WDK -FMO Documer	nt 1 Filed 04/27/10 Page 12 of 17 Page ID #:12
	S DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
	CASE NUMBER
J & J Sports Productions, Inc.	CV10 3151 WUN FIND
•	
PLAINTIFF(S), v.	
Danny Tien Wu, et al. (Please see attached.)	SUMMONS
TO: THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT(S):	
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and require	ed to file with this court and serve upon plaintiff's attorney
Thomas P. Riley, Esq.	hose address is:
Law Offices of Thomas P. Riley, P.C.	
1114 Fremont Avenue	
South Pasadena, CA 91030 Tel: (626) 799-9797	
An anguage to the or COMPLAINT	AMENDED COMPLAINT,
An answer to the ★ COMPLAINT, •	(1 st , 2 nd , etc.)
	which is herewith served upon you within 21 days after
be taken against you for the relief demanded in the con	y of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will applaint.
	ERK, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
APR 2.7 2010	CHRISTOPHER POWERS
DATE:By_	Deputy Clerk
	(SEAL OF THE COURT)
CV-1A (01/01) SUN	MMONS

Thomas P. Riley, SBN 194706 LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS P. RILEY, P.C. First Library Square 1114 Fremont Avenue

South Pasadena, CA 91030-3227

Tel: 626-799-9797 Fax: 626-799-9795 TPRLAW@att.net

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Attorneys for Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc.

United States District Court
For The
Central District of California
Western Division

J & J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

Case No.

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

vs.

DANNY TIEN WU, ANSON YANG, and CARMAN YANG, INDIVIDUALLY and d/b/a CAPTIN CRAB; and KING CRAB HOUSE, an unknown business entity d/b/a CAPTIN CRAB,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF ALLEGES:

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction is founded on the existence of a question arising under particular statutes. This action is brought pursuant to several federal statutes, including the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Title 47 U.S.C. 605, *et seq.*, and The Cable & Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, as amended, Title 47 U.S. Section 553, *et seq.*, and California B&P Section 17200, a California state statute.

Case 2:10-cv-03151-WDK -FMO Docu	ument 1 Filed 04/27/10 Page 14 of 17 Page ID #:14
	.
LIMITED CT	ATES DISTRICT COURT
	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	CASE NUMBER
J & J Sports Productions, Inc.	CW10 3151 WDK FM
PLAINTIFF	F(S)
v.	
Danny Tien Wu, et al. (Please see attached.)	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT	Z(S).
TO: THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT(S));
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and r	required to file with this court and serve upon plaintiff's attorney
Thomas P. Riley, Esq.	, whose address is:
Law Offices of Thomas P. Riley, P.O.	
1114 Fremont Avenue	
South Pasadena, CA 91030 Tel: (626) 799-9797	
An answer to the ★ COMPLAINT, •	AMENIDED COMBLAINT
	AMENDED COMPLAINT,
• COUNTERCLAIM, • CROSS-CLAIR service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the	M which is herewith served upon you within 21 days after he day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will
be taken against you for the relief demanded in the	e complaint.
	CLERK, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
*EPT 4 7	CHRISTOPHER POWERS
DATE:	By (CENI)
	Deputy Clerk
	(SEAL OF THE COURT)
	•
CV-1A (01/01)	SUMMONS

Thomas P. Riley, SBN 194706 LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS P. RILEY, P.C. First Library Square 1114 Fremont Avenue South Pasadena, CA 91030-3227

Tel: 626-799-9797 Fax: 626-799-9795 TPRLAW@att.net

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Attorneys for Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION

J & J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff.

VS.

DANNY TIEN WU, ANSON YANG, and CARMAN YANG, INDIVIDUALLY and d/b/a CAPTIN CRAB; and KING CRAB HOUSE, an unknown business entity d/b/a CAPTIN CRAB.

Defendants.

Case No.

COMPLAINT

PLAINTIFF ALLEGES:

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction is founded on the existence of a question arising under particular statutes. This action is brought pursuant to several federal statutes, including the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Title 47 U.S.C. 605, et seq., and The Cable & Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, as amended, Title 47 U.S. Section 553, et seq. and California B&P Section 17200, a California state statute.

Case 2:10-cv	/-03151-WDK -FMO	Do c htui	ı	r <mark>siec</mark> t		10 F	Page 16 of 17	Page I	D #:	16
J & J Sports Productions, Inc.				Danny 7		n eto	.1 °c			
_	•	Conto C	. 1	- -		-		т.		•
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDE (EXCEPT I	NCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINT N U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	IFF Santa C	lara	COUNTY OF	RESIDEN	ICE OF (IN U.	FIRST LISTED DEFEND S. PLAINTIFF CASES ON	ANT LOS ILY)	Ange	eles
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM N Thomas P. Riley, SBN# 194 Law Offices of Thomas P. R 1114 Fremont Avenue South Pasadena, CA 91030 Tel: (626) 799-9797	NAME, ADDRESS AND TELEPH 706 iley, P.C.	ONE NUMBER	R) A	ATTORNEY	S (IF KNO	WN)				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (PLACE AN x IN ONE E	BOX ONLY)	III. CIT	TIZENSH or Diversity Ca	IP OF P	RINC	IPAL PARTIES (PLA	CE AN x IN C	NE BOX	ζ .
U.S. Government Plaintiff	XI 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of	-	PTF □ 1	DEF	FOR PLAINTIFF A		PTF 1 4	DEF
 2 U.Ş. Government Defendant 	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh In Item III) 	ip of Parties	Citizen of	Another Stat	e □ 2	□ 2	Business In This State Incorporated and Princip Business In Another Sta	oal Place of te	□ 5	□ 5
			Citizen or Foreign (Subject of a Country	□ 3	□ 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□6
Proceeding State	oved from 3 Remanded fr Court Appellate Co	urt R	N ONE B einstated or eopened	- □ 5 T	ransferred another dis (specify)		□ 6 Multidistrict □ Litigation	7 Appeal Judge fr	om Mag	
<u>COMPLAINT:</u>	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 ON (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE ONAL STATUTES UNITES DIVIES		DEMA	ND \$ 15	0,000.0		Check YES only if demand	Judgmen ded in compl □ YES		NO.
VII. NATURE OF SUI			553; 4	/ USC 6	<u> </u>					
OTHER STATUTES ☐ 400 State	CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL I		RTS	AL INJUR		ORFEITURE / PENALTY		RUPTC	Y
□ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions VIII(a). IDENTICAL Constitutions	☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of	□ 310 Airplar □ 315 Airplar □ 315 Airplar □ 310 Assault Slande □ 330 Federal Liabili □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine □ 355 Motor V Product □ 360 Other P Injury □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employ □ 443 Housing Accomm □ 444 Welfare □ 440 Other C	ne Product tty , Libel & er I Employers ty Product y Vehicle Liability tersonal GHTS ment g/ modations	□ 362 Per	rsonal Injury and Malpra sonal Injury and Injury oduct Liab bestos Pers sigury Productiability. L PROPEI or Fraud the in Lendi perty Damperty Damperty Dampety	y- ctrice y- ility onal ct RTY ng lage age clity DNS Ctate as Other n	1 610 Agriculture 1 620 Other Food & Drug 1 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 1 630 Liquor Laws 1 640 R.R. & Truck 1 650 Airline Regs 1 660 Occupational Safety/Heal;th 1 690 Other LABOR 1 Fair Labor Standards Act 1 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 1 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 1 740 Railway Labor Act 1 790 Other Labor Litigation 1 1 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 423 Wi 28 PROPER □ 820 Cop □ 830 Pate □ 840 Tra □ 861 HIA □ 862 Bla □ 864 SSI □ 865 RSI □ 870 Tax Ple □ 871 IRS	USC 15 ithdrawa USC 15 IY RIG byrights ent demark (1395f ck Lung WC/DIW 5(g) D Title: (405(g) ITAX SU es (U.S. sintiff on fendant	ITY Ff) (923) VW XVI)) JITS r
If yes, list case number(s):							_ my d pm	4		
CV-71 (10/01)	CIVIL CO	VER SHEET	- Continue	d on Rever	se C	V1	0 315		Page 1	of 2
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: G		G not paid Judge		_ Mag. J	udge					

CIVIL COVER SHEET (Reverse Side)

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM JS-44C, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

VIII(b). RELATED CASES:	Have any cases been previous	ly filed that are related to the present case? X No Yes				
If yes, list case number(s):			-			
	RELATED IF A PREVIOUSI	LY FILED CASE AND THE PRESENT CASE:				
(CHECK ALL BOXES	☐ A. Appear to arise from the same or substantially identical transactions, happenings, or events;					
THAT APPLY)						
2.5	☐ C. Involve the sam	e patent, trademark or copyright;				
	☐ D. Call for determi	nation of the same or substantially identical questions of law, or	r			
		reasons may entail unnecessary duplication of labor if heard b				
IX. VENUE: List the Cali	fornia County, or State i	f other than California, in which EACH named plaintiff resides (Use an additional sheet if necessary			
☐ CHECK HERE IF TH	E US GOVERNMENT,	ITS AGENCIES OR EMPLOYEES IS A NAMED PLAINTIE	F.			
	Santa Clara					
List the California County,	or State if other than Ca	alifornia, in which EACH named defendant resides. (Use an addi	tional sheet if necessary).			
☐ CHECK HERE IF TH	E US GOVERNMENT	, ITS AGENCIES OR EMPLOYEES IS A NAMED DEFEND	ANT.			
	Los Angeles					
List the California County, NOTE: In land condemnat	or State if other than C ion cases, use the location	alifornia, in which EACH claim arose. (Use an additional sheet if ne on of the tract of land involved.	cessary)			
	Los Angeles					
outes in september 19/4, is	/PARTIES: The CV ervice of pleadings or of required pursuant to Lo	ER): 7-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover heet and the information containe her papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judic cal Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statistical codes rela						
NATURE OF SUIT CODE		SUBSTANTIVE STATEMENT OF CAU	SE OF ACTION			
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under T. Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, s for certification as providers of services under the program.	itle 18, Part A, of the Social			
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of t and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)				
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 o Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits b on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits ba 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))	sed on disability under Title			
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.	upon disability filed under			
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits to Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))	under Title 2 of the Social			
CV-71 (10/01)		CIVIL COVER SHEET	Page 2 of 2			

Page 2 of 2